



Eunice H. Cho  
Sr. Staff Attorney  
National Prison Project  
ACLU National Legal Department

June 27, 2025

**BY ECF**

Hon. Valerie Caproni  
United States District Court  
Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse  
40 Foley Square  
New York, NY 10007

Re: *American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement*, No. 1:25-cv-3271-VEC

Dear Judge Caproni,

Counsel for Plaintiffs American Civil Liberties Union Foundation (“ACLU”), American Civil Liberties Union Foundation of Colorado (“ACLU-CO”) (collectively “Plaintiffs”), and Defendant Immigration and Customs Enforcement (“ICE”) submit this Joint Letter in the above-referenced action, which Plaintiffs bring pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 (“FOIA”).

Plaintiffs bring this action to compel Defendant ICE to search for and release records regarding the agency’s identification of potential immigration detention sites in ICE’s Denver, Colorado Area of Responsibility. ACLU filed its FOIA request on March 7, 2025 and filed suit on April 21, 2025.

The parties write to provide the court with a status update and to respectfully request adjournment of the deadline to submit a proposed report pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The parties also respectfully propose that the Initial Pretrial Conference scheduled for July 11, 2025, at 10:00 a.m., be canceled given that there is no discovery or motion practice to be scheduled at this time. Instead of a Rule 26(f) letter, the parties respectfully propose that they submit joint status letters to the Court every 30 days, beginning July 18, 2025. The parties may seek the Court’s assistance—whether as part of the monthly status updates or otherwise—if they are not able to resolve any issues informally.



Since the filing of this case, counsel for the parties have met and conferred, and have reached agreement on a processing schedule, as detailed further below. The parties also provide additional information regarding the status of the case.

### **1. FOIA Search and Processing Schedule**

The ACLU's complaint seeks production of records regarding records submitted in response to a Request for Information ("RFI") issued by ICE to "identify possible detention facilities" in areas covered by its Denver, Colorado Field Office, with custodians to include Ian Somppi, Amanda Stought, and William Burger.

- a. ICE has completed its search of custodians Ian Somppi, Amanda Stought, and William Burger, for responses to RFI Notice 2025 ICE-DCR-DenverAOR, issued by ICE on February 14, 2025. That search yielded 211 pages of potentially responsive documents. ICE is processing those documents now and will produce any responsive, non-exempt records from that set by July 3, 2025.
- b. ICE will provide a sample *Vaughn* Index, to include identification of the applicable exemption(s) and grounds for the withholding, for a sample of up to 10 redactions specified in a request to be submitted by Plaintiffs. ICE will provide the sample *Vaughn* Index within 7 days of Plaintiffs' request (unless Plaintiffs provide the request within a week of a federal holiday, in which case the sample *Vaughn* Index will be provided within 10 days of the request). ICE's provision of the sample *Vaughn* Index will not foreclose ICE's provision of a full *Vaughn* Index, as appropriate, should any party move for summary judgment.

### **2. Agreement on FOIA Search and Production Fees.**

ICE will not charge Plaintiffs any search or production fees for the FOIA requests at issue in this case.

### **3. Outstanding Motions and Motions for Summary Judgment**

There are no pending motions before the Court.



The parties may need to engage in motion practice regarding the government's withholding of records under claimed exemptions and/or the adequacy of the government's search, which may be addressed in a motion for summary judgment. The parties may also need to engage in motion practice regarding the amount of reasonable attorneys' fees to which Plaintiffs may be entitled. The parties intend to work cooperatively to resolve any differences on these issues prior to submission of any motion. The parties do not anticipate requiring a motion to exclude expert testimony.

#### **4. Discovery**

No discovery has been taken to date. The parties anticipate resolving any issues presented in this case informally, or via motions for summary judgment, without the need for formal discovery. ICE respectfully submits that no discovery is necessary because it expects that this case, like most FOIA cases, will be resolved through settlement or on cross-motions for summary judgment without the need for discovery. *See Wood v. F.B.I.*, 432 F.3d 78, 84–85 (2d Cir. 2006). Plaintiffs, however, reserve the right to seek discovery if unanticipated issues and disputes arise. *See, e.g. Fams. for Freedom v. U.S. Customs & Border Prot.*, 837 F. Supp. 2d 331, 336–37 (S.D.N.Y. 2011) (authorizing discovery in FOIA case); *Brayton v. Office U.S. Trade Rep.*, 641 F.3d 521, 527 (D.D.C. 2011) (noting that resolution of a FOIA case on summary judgment is “not always” proper); *Long v. Imm. Customs Enforcement*, 464 F.Supp.3d 409 (D.D.C. 2020) (same).

#### **5. Settlement**

The parties are optimistic that Plaintiffs' complaint can be resolved through settlement; to that end, the parties have already negotiated a schedule for ICE to process and release non-exempt documents responsive to Plaintiffs' FOIA request, as set forth above. The parties do not presently believe that the court's alternative dispute resolution mechanisms would be helpful in resolving this case.

#### **6. Anticipated Length of Trial and Jury Trial**

The parties anticipate resolving any issues presented in this case before trial, informally, or via cross-motions for summary judgment. However, should a trial be needed, parties anticipate that it will be less than one day, and no jury is requested.



## 7. Magistrate Judge

All parties do not consent to conducting all further proceedings before a United States Magistrate Judge, including motions and trial.

Respectfully submitted,

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES  
UNION FOUNDATION

By: /s/ Eunice H. Cho

EUNICE H. CHO\*  
Sr. Staff Attorney  
American Civil Liberties Union  
National Prison Project  
915 15th Street NW, 7th Floor  
Washington, DC 20005  
Telephone: (202) 548-6619  
Email: [echo@aclu.org](mailto:echo@aclu.org)

*Attorney for Plaintiffs*  
\*admitted *pro hac vice*

JAY CLAYTON  
United States Attorney for the  
Southern District of New York

By: /s/ Jessica F. Rosenbaum  
PETER ARONOFF  
JESSICA F. ROSENBAUM  
Assistant United States Attorneys  
86 Chambers Street, 3rd Floor  
New York, New York 10007  
Telephone: (212) 637-2697/2777  
E-mail: [peter.aronoff@usdoj.gov](mailto:peter.aronoff@usdoj.gov)  
[jessica.rosenbaum@usdoj.gov](mailto:jessica.rosenbaum@usdoj.gov)

*Attorneys for Defendant*